FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION & IMPUNITY CAMPAIGN

CHALLENGING IMPUNITY:
A PEN REPORT ON UNPUNISHED CRIMES AGAINST WRITERS AND JOURNALISTS
The year-long Campaign on Freedom of Expression and Impunity, focusing on unsolved and unpunished crimes aimed at silencing writers and journalists, was launched on November 25, 2002, in San Miguel de Allende, Mexico, during a conference of the Writers in Prison Committee (WiPC) of International PEN. Direct actions have been taken throughout the year and culminated with the release of this PEN report on the problem of impunity and a roundtable during International PEN’s 69th World Congress of Writers in Mexico in November 2003. Please visit http://www.pencanada.ca/impunity/index.html.

The campaign was led by PEN Canada and found partners in the Writers in Prison Committee of International PEN, PEN American Center and PEN Mexico. Thanks to all who took part.
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“Through my experience as co-plaintiff in the on-going trial to resolve the murder of my sister, Myrna Mack, I have seen impunity up close, along every step of this tortuous path in search of justice. I have felt it when essential information has been denied that would determine individual criminal responsibility; when judges and witnesses have been threatened; when the lawyers for the accused military officials use the same constitutional guarantees of due process in order to obstruct judicial procedures; and when my family, my lawyers, my colleagues and I have been threatened or been victims of campaigns to discredit us.

“In every action that is oriented toward generating impunity, one can clearly see the hand of agents of the State who use the same judicial and security institutions to pervert, once again, the goal of reparation through judicial means as well as the right to the truth and to justice.

“Nevertheless, I must acknowledge that, by being familiar with impunity so directly, it has made it possible for me to reflect on it and on the ways of combating it. This has allowed us to undertake studies and investigation whose sole aim is to identify the problems, propose comprehensive solutions and have a certain impact in order to contribute to the eventual modernization and democratization of the apparatuses of justice and of security.”

- Helen Mack, sister of anthropologist Myrna Mack, who was murdered in 1990 on orders carried out by the Guatemalan military. Myrna Mack’s killer was convicted in 1993; Helen Mack is still pursuing justice against the three former officers said to have ordered her sister’s murder. PEN has advocated for justice in the murder of Myrna Mack for over a decade.
INTRODUCTION

What gives rise to impunity and how to put an end to it

One would think that in a stable democracy such as Japan violent attacks against journalists and writers would be very rare. And that person would be correct: the last murder of a Japanese journalist occurred on May 3, 1987, when an unidentified gunman shot and killed Asahi Shimbun reporter Tomohiro Kojiri.

The last one, that is, until September 12, 2003, when Japan awoke to the shocking news that another member of the profession had been violently killed. Police found the body of freelance journalist Satoru Someya near a pier in Tokyo Bay. His body was wrapped in a weighted chain, his hands were tied with rope and he had eight stab wounds in his back and two gashes in his head. Someya had been missing since September 5.

The 38-year-old journalist had reported for various magazines about organized crime in Tokyo. In July, he published a book titled Kabukicho Underground about Chinese criminal groups operating in Kabukicho, Tokyo’s notorious red light district. In the postscript to Kabukicho Underground, the journalist wrote that he might be in danger because of his investigations.

While authorities are said to be thoroughly investigating Someya’s murder in order to identify and apprehend those responsible, hopes for a resolution to the case are not high, if one is to judge by the follow-up to Kojiri’s killing: no one was ever convicted of that murder, and the statute of limitations on the case expired in May 2002.

The situation in Japan is troubling, not only because a prosperous, Western-style democracy is not immune to crimes against those who make a living by the written word but also because, if such a country fails to solve the murder of a journalist over a fifteen-year time span, what hope can there be for those seeking justice for the murder of journalists and writers in countries where democratic and judicial institutions are weak and/or corrupt?

The unpunished murder of writers and journalists around the world is a scourge that has hindered democratic development and the administration of justice. While some cases have resulted in the apprehension and punishment of those who both carried out and ordered the killings, the vast majority of cases remains unresolved.

The conditions that give rise to impunity are many. In several countries, a lack of a democratic culture impedes the thorough and successful investigation of the murder of writers and journalists. Several countries where the killings have occurred are not democratic, their citizens subjected to authoritarian rule. In these nations – where the media and writers often act as the de facto political opposition – the murders go unpunished, often without any investigation being undertaken. Other countries emerged from non-democratic rule only recently in their history, so have fledgling, inexperienced institutions that are currently incapable of carrying out the proper procedures that would result in the identification and condemnation of the killers. Furthermore, the politicians, technocrats and government authorities in some of those countries once belonged to the authoritarian...
structure that existed before, and are now defenders of a so-called democracy that protects
them, often through a form of “pardon and forgetting” that reigns in the country. In this
environment, the structures that allow impunity will continue with greater strength.

A lack of political will means that governments often spend more time making excuses for
their incompetence than devoting themselves to investigate the crimes. Some will even go as
far as to launch smear campaigns against the victim. Bob Rivard, director of the San Antonio
Express-News daily of Texas, commented, after a trip to Mexico to investigate the 1998 murder of American
journalist Phillip True, that “[Mexican officials] never lacked for excuses, and we had to put up with the critical
comments against [True] that served absolutely no purpose in advancing the investigation.”

Behind this government inaction usually lurks corruption. A deliberate unwillingness to intervene and to
investigate is rooted in individuals occupying influential political and judicial positions who have been co-opted
into not complying with the demands of justice and the rule of law. Government officials and judges are often
complicit with those who violate the law. As noted journalist Jesús Barraza once asked rhetorically about his
country, Mexico: “What class of country is this in which impunity is so great that criminals fear
a reporter more than a policeman?” Journalists who denounce corruption and impunity, he
said, “are becoming a kind of people’s prosecutor, displacing those who are charged with
carrying out justice. In the people’s name we should continue to denounce prosecutors,
police commanders, judges and magistrates so they all assume their real responsibility.”

The process to identify and prosecute those responsible for the murder of writers and
journalists is lengthy and rarely yields successful results. In many countries, judicial authority
is not independent of political power, which serves only to favour impunity. Independent of
such ties, police and other arms of the judiciary are ill equipped to provide proper follow-up
to cases. Investigative units – particularly those specifically designed to deal with such cases
– are weak or non-existent. The lack of systematic documentation of the killings and
information related to them makes exhaustive follow-up almost impossible. In general,
action on attacks against journalists and writers is reactive, not proactive. “Threats are
investigated only when they have been realized,” would be their motto – meaning only after
the murder has been committed.

Attempts by victims’ colleagues, family and friends to seek justice are often met with acts of
intimidation from elements connected to the crime. For example, following the 2002 murder
of Philippine journalist Edgar Damalerio, his family became active in investigating the
murder and bringing the perpetrators to justice. However, they faced harassment and
obstruction as they searched for justice. Moreover, two witnesses to the killing were
subsequently killed, while his family was forced to leave their home in Pagadian City out of
fear for their safety after having received death threats.

Failure to investigate the murders thoroughly – which results in the killers remaining at large
– effectively condones the crime. Why should someone who wishes to end the life of a
journalist or writer refrain from carrying out the action, especially if that individual knows
that no retribution or punishment will follow? For practitioners of the written word, to
survive in such a climate of impunity invariably means compromise. That usually takes the
form of self-censorship. The fear and uncertainty that result lead the writer and journalist to
think first of protecting themselves and their families. What results is a form of writing and
reporting that is selectively informative, hesitates to denounce, takes on a superficial
appearance and fails to carry out a role of vigilance and criticism.
Proposed solutions put forward by those who seek to put an end to impunity for the killers of writers and journalists are, on the face of it, simple and not extensive. Here with a sampling of the measures that can be taken:

- Have countries modify their legislation to allow for prosecution and punishment of those behind the killings
- Have the killers tried by regular courts (not special or, in some cases, secret courts)
- Ensure that authorities conduct immediate and tireless investigations
- Ensure that governments provide sufficient investigative and prosecutorial resources in order to identify those responsible
- Ensure that the judiciary be both independent and capable of condemning those responsible
- Have judicial authorities oversee reliable processes and provide for a real consequence of punishment, which will diminish such crimes in the future
- Have free expression organizations around the world collaborate on the matter of impunity
- In conflict situations, have the belligerents treat the media as part of the civilian population
- Have journalists and writers made aware of security and protection measures and be equipped with the tools necessary to protect themselves
- Encourage public (citizen) outrage at the murder of journalists and writers, since they represent their right to free expression and their outcry would encourage respect for that right
- Where perceived to be a benefit to the administration of justice, have domestic legislation modified so that the responsibility of investigating the murders be transferred from local/provincial authorities to federal ones; this would constitute a way of preventing the manipulation and pressure during investigations that is exercised by local police, public prosecutors and judges who are compromised or corrupt, as well as by those responsible for the murders, since they often have links to local authorities
- Moreover, by having national investigative and judicial entities oversee cases of murdered writers and journalists, they would be able to identify commonalities in the cases, to have clarity in regard to antecedents and to facilitate, among other things, how many of the murders had been preceded by threats - something that is not always easy to identify
- Have governments create a sub-unit in their prosecutorial branch that deals specifically with the murder of journalists and writers

The goal of seeing these proposed solutions become reality is difficult. However, courageous individuals and organizations around the world have persisted in seeking justice for fallen writers and journalists. Speaking this year from exile in the United States of America, Michèle Montas, the widow of slain Haitian journalist Jean Dominique, commented on the struggle to bring to justice those who ordered her husband’s murder. Although six men have been convicted of the killing, five people involved in the case have died. In addition, the judge in the case was forced into exile.

Montas, herself a journalist, vowed to continue her fight from afar. “I feel sadness and betrayal,” she said. “Anger. A lot of anger. Anger got me into this business in the first place. To me, Jean’s assassination changed the meaning of my life. I am fighting to get justice. Not just for Jean, but the country we fought for.”
Universally acknowledged as currently the most dangerous place in the world to make a living through journalism or writing, Colombia has the statistical evidence to back up that assessment: since 1988, approximately 300 journalists in Latin America have been killed for doing their jobs, at least 115 in Colombia alone.

The embattled country’s four-decade-long civil war has limited the full expression of human rights and, in the case of journalists, often placed them between the warring sides – with deadly consequences. Regardless of how they report, journalists invariably and unwittingly offend someone in this polarized conflict – primarily leftist rebels or right-wing death squads – and thus become targets of retaliation.

Members of the media also find themselves pressured by politicians accused of corruption or by elements in the country’s lucrative drug trade. Several journalists have lost their lives due to their reporting on these other areas of the socio-political dynamic in Colombia.

In Colombia, the threats against journalists and freedom of expression are unique in the hemisphere, since they come mainly from groups that are beyond the law. That is to say, the violence does not originate with the state. Rather, government authorities have failed by omission. Successive administrations have not provided safeguards to protect journalists, particularly those who are explicitly threatened. This has perpetuated a climate of impunity and leaves the media wide open to deadly attacks. (In 2002 alone, at least 26 journalists were threatened with death; 20 of them fled their region or the country.)

Colombia’s overburdened justice system is largely incapable of solving the crimes and punishing those responsible. For example, perpetrators were convicted in two murder cases in 2002; however, their acquittal in two other cases raised doubts about the workings of the justice system. Moreover, Colombia’s decision last year to use the exemption allowed under article 124 when it ratified the Rome Statute creating the International Criminal Court (ICC) deprived Colombians of a new weapon against impunity. This article allows a country to declare that the court has no jurisdiction over war crimes committed in its territory for the first seven years of the court’s existence. Yet more than half the crimes committed in Colombia are war crimes.

At the beginning of 2003, Colombia President Alvaro Uribe pledged to investigate crimes against journalists and to wage a battle against impunity.
Although it is estimated that some 30 writers and journalists have been killed in Iran since the Islamic Revolution in 1979, an analysis of the problem of impunity in the country can be done through the representative case of Nasser Zarafshan, the lawyer representing the victims of writers and intellectuals murdered in 1998.

The distinguished attorney is currently in prison after being convicted of “disseminating state secrets and the possession of firearms and alcohol.” Following a secret trial, a military court sentenced Zarafshan in March 2002 to five years’ imprisonment and fifty lashes. Zarafshan, who is also an author and translator, was serving as legal representative for the relatives of two of the families of Iranian writers and journalists who were assassinated in November 1998 in what came to be known in Iran as the “serial murders” case. The murdered journalists included Majid Charif, an editorialist with the monthly Iran é Farda, writer-journalists Mohamad Mokhtari and Mohamad Jafar Pouyandeh, and freedom of expression activists Darioush and Parvaneh Forouhar. The killings deeply shocked Iranians and outraged much of the reformist media.

The action against Zarafshan is said to be both in retribution for his criticism of the official investigation carried out into the murders and also a means of silencing others who seek the truth behind the killings.

In the spring of 2002, Zarafshan told Radio Free Europe’s Persian service that the charges against him were groundless. “Hundreds of people talked about the serial murders case and nobody was prosecuted until after November 1999, when the head of the judiciary issued an announcement that ‘whoever talks about this case will be prosecuted.’ But according to [the Islamic Republic’s] law on punishment, only those who commit a crime are punishable, not those who merely talk about a crime,” he said.

Zarafshan has reportedly appealed to the Supreme Court, and is said to be undergoing medical examinations to ascertain whether he is healthy enough to face the flogging sentence.

The deadly circumstances that befall writers and journalists in Iran again returned to the spotlight this year with the killing of Zahra Kazemi, an Iranian-born photojournalist who held Canadian citizenship. Kazemi had returned to her homeland on assignment. She was arrested on June 23 outside Tehran’s Evin prison for taking unauthorized photographs and died from a brain haemorrhage on July 10. An official report into her death concluded that
she died after a blow to the head received in custody. An Iranian magistrate ruled out premeditated murder in Kazemi’s death but upheld charges of “quasi-intentional murder” against an intelligence agent.

In October, Iranian lawyer and Nobel Peace Prize laureate Shirin Ebadi announced that she had agreed to represent Kazemi’s mother at trial in Tehran of an intelligence agent implicated in Kazemi’s death. In addition, a Canadian delegation of two government observers and one independent observer have been given permission to attend the trial, which was scheduled to begin in November 2003, in Tehran. This agreement could allow an unprecedented view into Iran’s usually secretive legal system.

MEXICO

In a country where good news regarding the resolution of unsolved murders of journalists is rare, the recent acknowledgement by the Mexican government that it was prepared to pursue investigations into the murder of two journalists was viewed as a minor breakthrough in combating impunity in the country.

In late October 2003, government representatives met with the Inter American Press Association (IAPA) at the Inter American Commission on Human Rights (IACHR) office in Washington, where a readiness was achieved to reach a “follow-up agreement” between the parties to resolve the cases. The murders in question concern those of Héctor Félix Miranda and Víctor Manuel Oropesa, murdered in 1988 and 1991, respectively. In the case of Félix, the mastermind behind the crime has not been identified, while in the case of Oropesa neither have those who carried out the murder. The cases were taken up by the IACHR in 1999, the year in which that agency noted that the Mexican state had an “international responsibility” due to the delay in justice being done and the violation of the right to freedom of expression and to legal guarantees and protection under the law, to the detriment of the victims’ family members.

Three years after the historic election of Vicente Fox, which ended 75 years of one-party rule in Mexico, the country is being governed somewhat more democratically. However, threats, attacks and intimidation of journalists remain commonplace in provinces where those responsible are often local politicians, officials and police who find it hard to accept an independent press. Meanwhile, reporters covering high-crime areas, especially near the U.S.-Mexico border, which is rife with drug traffickers, still face danger. Since 1988, at least 37 journalists have been killed in Mexico. Impunity reigns in the majority of these cases.
A measure that press freedom organizations have identified as key to securing convictions of those behind the murders is to have crimes against journalists regarded as federal, not state, offences. This would ensure greater transparency in the judicial process and that the cases be dealt with openly and rapidly. As IAPA commented following the October 2003 meeting with the Mexican government, “this could be a great opportunity to combat impunity.”

PHILIPPINES

The Philippines is generally viewed as having one of the freest media in Asia. The country’s journalists take pride in the vibrancy of their profession, which is largely free of government controls. Unfortunately, that energy has come with a price. The Philippines is the most dangerous country in the region for journalists. To date, 37 journalists have been killed since the restoration of democracy in 1986, all without a conviction.

Despite the existence of constitutional and legal safeguards designed to protect human rights and ensure a fair trial, a climate of impunity persists to this day. The results of police investigations have rarely been made public nor have any perpetrators been brought to justice. In the case of Edgar Damalerio, a journalist who was murdered in 2002, two witnesses have been killed, while his family has received threats and been forced to leave their home in Pagadian City out of fear for their safety.

The most recent murders of journalists highlight the threats that they face. On September 2, police announced the discovery of the body of Rico Ramirez on August 20 in San Francisco, in Agusan del Sur province; but they did not explain the two-week delay. A journalist for DXSF radio station, Ramirez was shot dead, in apparent reprisal for his recent reports on local organized crime and drug trafficking.

His death followed the August 19 killing of journalist Noel Villarante. The reporter for DZJV radio and the Laguna Score newspaper was shot and killed by a gunman outside his house in Santa Cruz City. Villarante was known for his critical reports on allegedly corrupt local officials and drug traffickers. Soon after the murder, Santa Cruz police chief superintendent Renato Paras said that investigators were hesitant to reveal too many details about the case because high-profile individuals could be behind the killing.

In response to this climate of impunity, the Centre for Media Freedom and Responsibility (CMFR) in Manila and other media organizations, including the Philippine Centre for Investigative Journalism, established the Freedom Fund for Filipino Journalists. The FFFJ raises funds to protect journalists under threat and provide assistance to the families of
journalists killed in the line of duty. It will also follow up the prosecution of cases involving attacks against journalists and promote responsible journalism as a way to protect journalists. Most recently, the government of the Philippines has offered a 1 million Peso (US$18,000) reward for the capture of individuals who have murdered journalists in the past five years.

RUSSIA

Since Communism collapsed in the former Soviet Union and Eastern Europe at the end of the 1980s, the independent states that emerged from the ashes of that political structure have struggled to erect lasting democratic institutions.

In Russia, as in many of its neighbouring countries, that effort continues. In the meantime, members of the Russian media have become targets for elements in society who would wish to keep them silent. Since 1991, over 120 journalists and media workers have been killed, the majority of them as a result of their professional activities.

The majority also remain unsolved. According to Aleksei Simonov of the Glasnost Defense Foundation in Moscow, since 1994, approximately 90 per cent of the killings of journalists remain unsolved. In general, local governments are often slow to investigate, and Russian bureaucracy is notoriously lethargic. This is especially true concerning the cases of murdered journalists.

Harassment of journalists is not uncommon in Russia’s provinces, where powerful local leaders and businessmen are often intolerant of any critical reporting. Indeed, since Communism’s fall, Russia has become a perilous place in which to be a journalist. In contrast to well-publicized administrative and political harassment of news media in Moscow and other major areas, the murders of journalists happen mostly far from the public eye, in provincial towns where criminal overlords enforce a particularly brutal form of censorship. This class of gangsters, which emerged from the chaos of the Soviet Union’s collapse, often bought the local police, prosecutors and judges, who accepted bribes to supplement their meagre salaries. In essence, they bought virtual impunity. Consequently, fewer Russian journalists believe that muckraking and investigative reporting is worth the effort anymore.

One recent glimmer of hope occurred in May 2003, when the Military Collegium of the Supreme Court yesterday overturned a June 2002 acquittal of six men accused of organizing the 1994 murder of popular Moscow journalist Dmitry Kholodov. The Court ruled that the Moscow Circuit Military Court had “failed to take all available evidence into account” during the 18-month trial, which began in November 2000. However, it remains to be seen if justice in this case - which is nearly a decade overdue - will result.
The following is a list of writers and journalists around the world who have been killed since 1992 in violation of their right to freedom of expression. International PEN has documented all of these murders in its case lists.

Luis Filipe Batalha, ANGOLA
David Bernadino, ANGOLA
Bernardo Marcelino, ANGOLA
Rahbar Bashirmoglu, AZERBAIJAN
Salim Haggi, AZERBAIJAN
Zavitika, BURMA
d’Albo Madjigoto, CHAD
Lacides Casas, COLOMBIA
Fredy Mario Erazo, COLOMBIA
Carlos Alberto Llanos, COLOMBIA
Arnaldo Andris Rivas Ronquillo, COLOMBIA
Fereidoun Farokhzad-Araghi, GERMANY
Manuel Estuardo Penã, GUATEMALA
Chan Kang-nan, HONG KONG
Mustapha Jaha, LEBANON
Ignacio Mendoza Castillo, MEXICO
Moubarak Shabov, TAJIKISTAN
Tura Kobilov, TURKEY
Halit Gungen, TURKEY
Musa Anter, TURKEY
Huseyin Deniz, TURKEY
Izzet Keser, TURKEY
Yekta Okur, TURKEY
Manuel de Dios Unanue, USA
Paul Jenks, YUGOSLAVIA
Mahfoud Boucebsi, ALGERIA
Tahar, Laadi Flici, ALGERIA
Jose Manuel, ANGOLA
Jose Maria Dos Santos, ANGOLA
Ihsan Karakus, TURKEY
Makoba Bidimu, ZAIRE
Mirwais Jalil, AFGHANISTAN
Abdelkader Alloula, ALGERIA
Hassan Benaouda, ALGERIA
Yahia (Djamel) Benzaghou, ALGERIA
Perhat Cherkit, ALGERIA
Abdelkader Hicher, ALGERIA
Mohamed Salah Benachour, ALGERIA
Tayeb Bouterif, ALGERIA
Yasmina Drici, ALGERIA
Ahmed Issaod, ALGERIA
Mohamed Lamine Legoui M’sila, ALGERIA
Nassereddine Lekhal, ALGERIA
Said Mekbel, ALGERIA
Smail Shagidi, ALGERIA
Farah Ziane, ALGERIA
Mohamed Hassaine, ALGERIA
Varges Petrosym, ARMENIA
Joao Alberto Ferreira Souto, BRAZIL
Hemogenes Da Silva Almeida, BRAZIL
Nasseredine Lekhal, ALGERIA
Said Mekbel, ALGERIA
Smail Shagidi, ALGERIA
Farah Ziane, ALGERIA
Mohamed Hassaine, ALGERIA
Varges Petrosym, ARMENIA
Joao Alberto Ferreira Souto, BRAZIL
Hemogenes Da Silva Almeida, BRAZIL

Thun Bun Ly, CAMBODIA

“I want to do whatever I can so that Cambodia has democracy the same as other people in the world...There is less democracy if we can’t print.” These are the words of newspaper editor Thun Bun Ly, some six months before he was shot dead in Phnom Penh on May 18, 1996. Ly was managing editor of Udodkamthmer. One week before his murder, in the columns of his paper, he had virulently criticised the wife of the country’s Second Prime Minister and the ruling party’s strong man, Hun Sen. In 1995, Ly had been sentenced to close down the publication because of his anti-government comments. In 2002, his murderers still roam free and no serious inquiry has been launched to find them and put them on
Norbert Zongo, BURKINA FASO

Norbert Zongo was the publisher of the weekly newspaper l'Indépendant. He and three friends were found dead in his burnt-out car on December 13, 1998. His death set off a wave of demonstrations throughout the country. On May 7, 1999, a government-appointed independent commission of inquiry concluded that his murder was connected with the investigations he had made as a journalist over the years, especially one of his last probes, into the death of David Ouedraogo, the driver of François Compaoré, an official adviser to his brother, President Blaise Compaoré. Over four years later, Zongo’s murder remains unpunished. Journalists who are especially critical of the Compaoré regime continue to be subject to threats and harassment.
On September 16, 2000, 31-year-old journalist Georgy Gongadze, publisher of the Internet journal Ukrainska Pravda, disappeared. His body was later found decapitated in a ditch in a suburb of Kiev. Gongadze had been investigating corruption at the heart of Ukraine’s government. A key witness in the case, Igor Goncharev, died in police custody in mysterious circumstances. Goncharev, in a written statement, had implicated several top government officials in Gongadze’s murder. Since his death, at least two more journalists in Ukraine have also been killed.
PEN Recommendations and Advocacy on Impunity

1. The United Nations

International PEN welcomes the various resolutions emerging from the UN Commission on Human Rights on impunity that recognise the importance of combating impunity for all human rights violations and calls on States to take action to hold accountable perpetrators, including their accomplices, of violations of international human rights and humanitarian law. PEN is confident that the Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Expression, alongside other special rapporteurs and mechanisms of the Commission, will follow the resolutions’ recommendation to continue to give due consideration to the issue of impunity in the discharge of their mandates.

PEN centres and others are requested to write to their own government delegations to the UN Commission on Human Rights:

- Raising concerns that over 400 writers and journalists have been killed in the practice of their right to freedom of expression since 1992;
- Pointing out that few of those who have committed murder or ordered the killing of writers and journalists are brought to justice, and that the vast majority are thus granted impunity;
- Urging that all States take note of and abide by the UNCHR resolutions and that government delegations to the UN Commission session promote and support further measures ensuring that those who carry out murder to silence their critics can no longer do so without fear of prosecution.

International PEN supports consideration by the UN Commission on Human Rights to appoint an independent expert to examine all aspects of impunity. In this regard, the organisation:

- Supports the appointment of an independent expert to prepare an updated version of a set of principles, with a view to its adoption by the Commission;
- Notes the need to take account of the many possible types of action against impunity that may be adopted, depending on cultural, legal and judicial particularities, and to take steps to ensure that it take account of the ethical and moral aspects of the duty to remember the victims and provide redress;
- Asserts that exposing violations of human rights and holding their perpetrators and accomplices accountable are integral to the promotion and implementation of all human rights and fundamental freedoms and to the prevention of future violations;
- Also calls on the UN Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression to continue to give due consideration to the issue of impunity in the discharge of his/her mandate.
2. **Latin America and regional institutions**

**International PEN:**

- Asks that national governments, where appropriate, give the necessary co-operation to the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights and its Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression, which have agreed to take up the investigation and corresponding legal proceedings of specific cases in those countries;
- Urges the Inter-American Court of Human Rights to resolve those matters that have been brought before it concerning freedom of expression and crimes committed against journalists and writers during the course of their work, creating case-law on the issue of freedom of expression, the right of people to information and the safety of journalists and writers;
- Requests that the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights include as a subject for review in its on-site visits and in its general country-by-country reports the issue of freedom of expression and the question of the safety of journalists and writers;
- Urges all governments in the Americas to provide for the necessary resources so that the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights can exercise its function of protecting human rights, specifically the processing of individual cases concerning the murder of journalists and writers, and to require that the American states provide the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights with all relevant information in their possession concerning cases of murders of journalists and writers within the legal time frames required.

3. **Governments and international institutions**

**International PEN:**

- Urges the prohibition of amnesties or pardons of those responsible for these crimes;
- Urges enactment in those countries, where necessary, of laws prohibiting trial by military or special tribunals of those accused of crimes against journalists and writers;
- Recommends to multilateral and bilateral institutions of international co-operation and financial assistance that they require from recipient countries as a specific condition of eligibility full respect for freedom of expression and effective protection of the exercise of press freedom, also to recommend to these institutions that the murder of journalists and writers and the lack of punishment toward those responsible should be cause for revision, suspension or revocation of such co-operation;
- Calls upon governments and national congresses to adopt the principle that there should be no statute of limitations for crimes against persons when these are perpetrated to prevent the exercise of freedom of information and expression or when their purpose is the obstruction of justice;
- Calls upon governments and national congresses to refine legislation to make it possible to prosecute and sentence those who instigate the assassination of persons exercising the right to freedom of expression;
• Urges that legal provision be made for the persons responsible for offences against journalists and writers exercising their professional duties and the media to be judged before civil and/or ordinary courts.

Freedom of Expression and the Administration of Justice

International PEN states that:

• Special restrictions on commenting on courts and judges cannot be justified; the judiciary play a key public role and, as such, must be subject to open public scrutiny;
• No restrictions on reporting on ongoing legal proceedings may be justified unless there is a substantial risk of serious prejudice to the fairness of those proceedings and the threat to the right to a fair trial or to the presumption of innocence outweighs the harm to freedom of expression;
• Any sanctions for reporting on legal proceedings be applied only after a fair and public hearing by a competent, independent and impartial tribunal; the practice of summary justice being applied in cases involving criticism of judicial proceedings is unacceptable;
• Courts and judicial processes, like other public functions, are subject to the principle of maximum disclosure of information that may be overcome only where necessary to protect the right to a fair trial or the presumption of innocence;
• Judges’ right to freedom of expression, and to comment on matters of public concern, should be subject only to such narrow and limited restrictions as are necessary to protect their independence and impartiality;
• Although the decision to prosecute lies primarily within the competence of the State, supplementary procedural rules should be introduced to enable victims to institute proceedings, on either an individual or a collective basis, where the authorities fail to do so, particularly as civil plaintiffs. This option should be extended to non-governmental organisations with recognized long-standing activities on behalf of the victims concerned.

4. Other human rights / freedom of expression organisations

As part of an international group of organisations dedicated to the defence of freedom of expression, International PEN pledges to:

• Encourage the dispatch of multi-organisation investigative missions to the countries concerned to highlight to authorities the safety of journalists and writers and the need to conduct investigations and legal proceedings without delay;
• Raise awareness of the issue of impunity with country officials and within PEN centres through an ongoing Impunity Watch;
• Study ways to fund legal actions and investigations so that crimes against journalists and writers do not go unpunished; and,
• Intensify and promote the exchange of information and objectives among organisations dedicated to the protection, defence and promotion of freedom of
expression, making the issue of lack of punishment in the murder of journalists and writers a priority.

5. **General statements on impunity**

**International PEN:**

- Notes that impunity generally arises from a failure by States to meet their obligations to investigate violations, to take appropriate measures in respect of the perpetrators, particularly in the area of justice, by ensuring that they are prosecuted, tried and punished, to provide victims with effective remedies and reparation and to take steps to prevent any recurrence of such violations;
- Repudiates the murder of and all physical violence directed against journalists and writers as one of the greatest crimes against society, in that it restricts freedom of expression and, as a result, all other rights and freedoms;
- Repudiates acts of commission or omission by those who have the responsibility to investigate and mete out punishment for those crimes but fail to do so, allowing the guilty to go unpunished, thus making the matter even more serious;
- Demands that the authorities carry out their duty to prevent, investigate and mete out punishment for these crimes and to make good for their consequences;
- Irrespective of any legal proceedings, notes that victims, their families and relatives have the right to know the truth about the circumstances in which violations took place and, in the event of death or disappearance, the victim’s fate.
Resources on Impunity

Legislation and resolutions:

Commission on Human Rights Resolution 2003/ 72: Impunity
document

Commission on Human Rights Resolution 2003/ 42: Right to freedom of opinion and of
expression)
document

Commission on Human Rights Resolution 2002/ 79: Impunity
http://www.unhchr.ch/Huridoca/Huridoca.nsf/0/cd893dbd5bbd5ed7c1256bab0051565d
?Open
document

International Mechanisms for Promoting Freedom of Expression - Joint Declaration by the
UN Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Opinion and Expression, the OSCE Representative
on Freedom of the Media and the OAS Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Expression
(2002)

Resolution of the General Assembly of the Organization of American States concerning
assaults upon freedom of the press and crimes against journalists (1998)
http://www.impunidad.com/articles/resol_oeaE.htm

UNESCO resolution on crimes against journalists (29 C/ D R.120, 1997)
http://www.impunidad.com/articles/resol_unescoE.htm

African Charter on Human and Peoples’ Rights
http://www1.umn.edu/humanrts/instree/z1afchar.htm

American Convention on Human Rights of the Organization of American States
http://www.oas.org/juridico/english/Treaties/b-32.htm

Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union
http://ue.eu.int/df/docs/en/CharteEN.pdf

Organizations and Reports:

Amnesty International
http://www.amnesty.org/

Coalition for International Justice
http://www.cij.org/index.cfm?fuseaction=homepage

Damocles Network: the judicial arm of Reporters sans frontiers
http://www.rsf.org/rubrique.php3?id_rubrique=193

Derechos Human Rights: working for the respect and promotion of human rights throughout the world
http://www.derechos.org/

How to bring information before the United Nations Special Rapporteur on the promotion and protection of the right to freedom of opinion and expression

Human Rights Watch
http://www.hrw.org

Impunity Campaign of the Inter American Press Association
www.impunidad.com

Inter American Commission on Human Rights of the Organization of American States
http://www.cidh.oas.org/what.htm

International Freedom of Expression Exchange
www.ifex.org

Mexico: Under the Shadow of Impunity (Amnesty International Canada, 1999)
http://www.amnesty.ca/library/1999/1AMR4102.htm

OSCE Representative on Freedom of the Media
http://www.osce.org/fom/mandate/

Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights
http://www.unhchr.ch/

Office of the Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression of the Organization of American States
http://www.cidh.oas.org/Relatoria/English(FuncObject.htm

PROBIDAD: managing regional anti-corruption and free press activities in Latin America
http://www.probidad.org/

INTERNATIONAL PEN’S IMPUNITY WATCH

A centrepiece of PEN’s campaign against Impunity has been the launch of Impunity Watch. Since November 2002, International PEN has circulated regular advisories of journalists and writers around the world who have been killed because of their work. Included in the Impunity Watch bulletins are case details as well as appeals for use by recipients to protest the killings and call for thorough action on the part of authorities to identify and punish those responsible. Although PEN’s impunity campaign is coming to a close, Impunity Watch will remain a regular component of the organisation’s ongoing efforts to promote freedom of expression internationally and to have justice done in cases of individuals who are killed for speaking out.

If you are interested in receiving Impunity Watch bulletins by electronic mail, please send a message to intpen@gn.apc.org.
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